

# History of the AFCI

## National Electrical Code® Progression

The need for AFCI has been established by various respected organizations. Requirements are already in place to mandate the use of the Combination Type AFCI. These Combination Type AFCIs provide the maximum protection available today for reducing the possibly catastrophic effects of arcing faults.

### 1999 NEC®

- Listed AFCI future requirement in Code
  - Allows Branch/Feeder AFCI
- January 1, 2002: bedroom receptacles

### 2002 NEC®

- Listed AFCI in ALL bedroom circuits
  - Allows Branch/Feeder AFCI

### 2005 NEC®

- Combination Type AFCI in bedroom circuits
- Branch/Feeder AFCI permitted until January 1, 2008

### 2008 NEC®

- Combination Type AFCI
- Expand to 1 pole, 15A and 20A circuits installed in family rooms, dining rooms, living rooms, parlors, libraries, dens, bedrooms, sunrooms, recreation rooms, closets, hallways, or similar rooms or areas

### 2011 NEC®

- Combination Type AFCI

- 15-20A circuits installed in family rooms, dining rooms, living rooms, parlors, libraries, dens, bedrooms, sunrooms, recreation rooms, closets, hallways, or similar rooms or areas

### 2014 NEC® and 2017 NEC®

- Combination Type AFCI
- Single-Phase 15-20A circuits installed in kitchens, family rooms, dining rooms, living rooms, parlors, libraries, dens, bedrooms, sunrooms, recreation rooms, closets, hallways, laundry areas, or similar rooms or areas
- Dormitory Units – Single-phase 15-20A circuits supplying outlets installed in bedrooms, living rooms, hallways, closets, and similar rooms

### 2017 NEC®

- Combination Type AFCI and Dual Function AFCI/GFCI
- All 120-volt, single-phase, 15-20A branch circuits
  - Supplying outlets or devices installed in dwelling unit kitchens, family rooms, dining rooms, living rooms, parlors, libraries, dens, bedrooms, sunrooms, recreation rooms, closets, hallways, laundry areas or similar rooms or areas.

### AFCIs: Big fire prevention in a small package

The Electrical Safety Foundation International (ESFI) has stated that one technology could save "hundreds of lives, reducing thousands of injuries and nearly \$1 billion in property damage annually." a The United States Fire Administration (USFA) has partnered with the National Association of State Fire Marshals (NASFM) to urge homeowners to install it. The Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) has helped educate the public about its benefits. Underwriters Laboratories (UL) has listed products from four manufacturers after extensive testing for reliability and effectiveness. The Executive Director of Standards and Safety for the National Electrical Contractors Association (NECA) further describes them as being "on the front line of home electrical safety."<sup>2</sup>

What are these remarkable devices? Arc Fault Circuit Interrupters (AFCIs). These specialized circuit breakers detect the hidden problems sparking 82 percent of all electrical fires, according to an estimate by NASFM.<sup>3</sup> Based on USFA statistics from 2006, that amounts to approximately 67,800 electrical fires annually – fires that may be prevented if only the houses are equipped with AFCIs.<sup>4</sup> Unfortunately, in most cases, they aren't.

Why not? Because after a decade of commercial availability, AFCIs are just beginning to work their way into the nation's housing stock. Slow building code updates, special interest opposition to AFCIs, and lack of awareness among home buyers all contribute to the problem. Put these factors together, and the changeover simply isn't happening fast enough.

### The evolution of AFCIs into an established technology

The problem of arc faults has been recognized for the better part of the 20th Century – virtually the entire time that electricity has been in widespread use. In fact, patent applications related to arc fault protection date back to the 1930s. Applications peaked between 1990 and 2005 and have virtually disappeared since, a trend that is characteristic of a mature technology (see Figure 1).




Figure 1: History of arc-fault and arc-detection patent applications

Although arc faults have been recognized as a problem for a long time, the hunt for a commercially viable technology gained significant momentum almost 30 years ago. In 1980, the USFA commissioned a fire loss comparison study that showed the U.S.

suffered one of the world's highest death rates from fire – two to four times higher than experienced in European countries.

Approximately three-fourths of those deaths occurred in residential fires. Furthermore, the study found that "fires in residential electrical distribution systems are an important contributor to this problem."<sup>5</sup>

The USFA report helped prompt the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission to delve deeper into the cause of electrical fires. But most of the existing electrical fire data available to the CPSC from local fire departments blamed "failure of electrical wiring," a generic description that did little to help pinpoint the real cause of electrical fires.<sup>6</sup>

To get better data, the CPSC paid the USFA to train fire investigators in select cities to analyze electrical fires for the root cause.<sup>7</sup> The inspectors gathered detailed data on 149 fires in 16 cities in 1980-81 and 1984-85. A final report completed in December 1987 found that electrical fires occurred most often in branch circuit wiring, followed by receptacle outlets and extension cords.

In 1993, the Electronic Industries Alliance (EIA) and UL attempted to identify a solution for the specific problem of arcing faults in appliance and extension cords. Since arcing faults often lead to short circuits, they sought to define an appropriate decrease in the short-circuit trip level of circuit breakers. This was determined by an analysis of the available current at receptacle outlets. The report found a tradeoff between increased protection and nuisance tripping due to high initial currents associated with certain electrical appliances at turn-on. A proposal to the 1996 National Electric Code (NEC) was rejected unanimously with the NEC Code Making Panel recommending "a more complete analysis of actual cord problems and alternate solutions such as other cord constructions, supplemental overcurrent protection, and electronic sensing is needed."

Around this time, the CPSC began work on a more comprehensive study to identify a technical solution to mitigate the risk of electrical fires. In December 1994, the CPSC issued a call to 800 domestic and foreign manufacturers, asking them to submit any promising new technology for evaluation. The request was republished in the January 2005 edition of UL Trends. Nineteen inventors and manufacturers responded, and 11 products were submitted for testing. The CPSC retained UL to evaluate five technologies: arc-fault detection, modified-trip circuit breakers (similar to those in the EIA/UL study), ground-fault circuit interrupters, supplementary protection, and surge protection.

After months of testing, UL concluded in September 1995 that "from the technologies analyzed, arc-fault detection appeared to be very promising, especially when added to residential branch-circuit breakers and combined with other proven technologies such as ground-fault protection."<sup>8</sup>

### AFCIs enter the commercial mainstream

UL and NEMA collaborated on the creation of a draft standard defining a set of operational requirements for AFCIs in December 1996.<sup>9</sup> AFCIs began appearing commercially in 1997, and the CPSC tested the devices from multiple manufacturers to determine if they could reliably detect arc faults without tripping in the presence of operational arcing, which occurs in motors, switches, etc. The tests generally followed the requirements in the draft UL standard, although the CPSC included several tests for unwanted

tripping that were not included in the standard. In spite of this, all the AFCIs detected the presence of arc fault conditions while avoiding nuisance tripping.<sup>⑩</sup>

In the fall of 1997, UL began work on conversion of the draft standard into UL 1699, Standard for Arc-Fault Circuit Interrupters, which was published in February 1999. UL announced the standard in an article headlined: "AFCIs show promise to save lives by preventing electrical fires."<sup>⑪</sup>

During this time, the NEC Code Making Panel began to consider proposals to require AFCIs as part of the NEC. In 1997, three separate proposals were made to require AFCIs in the 1999 NEC. This resulted in a 1999 NEC requirement of AFCI protection for 15-amp and 20-amp bedroom receptacles beginning January 1, 2002. The 2002 NEC expanded the requirement for AFCI protection to include not only bedroom receptacles, but all 15A and 20A bedroom circuits.

Reacting to the development of new technology, the 2005 NEC requires Combination Type AFCIs, which detect more types of arc faults, beginning January 1, 2008. Branch/Feeder AFCIs are permitted until that date. The 2008 NEC expands Combination Type AFCI protection beyond bedrooms to also include family rooms, living rooms, parlors, libraries, dens, sun rooms, recreation rooms or similar rooms. This will provide even more electrical safety protection in the home.

A timeline of the history of AFCI development is shown in Figure 2 of this paper.

### Proven technology, widely endorsed

In 2007, AFCIs mark a decade of commercial availability. In that time, the technology has been endorsed by a wide range of public and private organizations:

- Consumer Product Safety Commission. This U.S. government agency reports that its electrical engineers "have tested the new AFCIs on the market and found these products to be effective." In addition, the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) has helped educate the public about the benefits of AFCIs.
- U.S. Fire Administration. Also a US government agency, USFA advocates AFCIs, noting in its literature that "CPSC has identified AFCI technology as an effective means of preventing fires caused by electrical wiring faults in the home."<sup>⑫</sup>
- National Association of State Fire Marshals. This group, which represents state-level fire officials, "strongly supports the broad adoption of AFCI technology through national, state, and local building codes," according to its president, John C. Dean. "AFCIs are the most welcome addition to fire prevention in decades. AFCIs promise to save hundreds of lives every year."
- National Electrical Contractors Association. NECA has fought to keep AFCIs in state and local building codes, urging state legislatures to stand up to those who would put profit ahead of consumer safety. "AFCIs are right there on the front line of home electrical safety," says Brooke Stauffer, executive director for standards and safety at NECA. "They add very little to the cost of wiring a new house but a large measure of extra protection for the occupants. Opposing AFCIs in new residential construction is incredibly short-sighted."

- National Association of Home Inspectors. "NAHI strongly encourages its members to educate all of their clients about the life- and property-saving benefits of AFCI technology, especially those clients considering the purchase of a home more than 20 years old," says Executive Director Mallory Anderson.
- Electrical Safety Foundation International. "ESFI urges that AFCI technology be installed in all new and existing housing to protect homes and families from fires caused by electrical arcing," observes ESFI President Brett Brenner.

### Proven technology for a proven need

If 2007 is like 2006 – and virtually every other year since electricity became commonplace in American homes – electrical problems will cause approximately 67,800 home fires, \$868 million (2006) in property damage, more than 485 deaths and more than 2,300 injuries.<sup>⑬</sup>

Thanks to AFCIs, many of the deaths and injuries and most of the property damage could be prevented. AFCIs work, and they've been proven in hundreds of laboratory tests and years of commercial use. But AFCIs only work where they are installed, and most of the nation's homes don't have them.

The solution is simple, though it will take time. State and local building code committees should follow the example of the NEC and require AFCIs in all new homes. Meanwhile, owners of existing homes should hire licensed electricians to install the technology. In the hands of a skilled technician, the job typically does not require rewiring and costs only a few hundred dollars per home, a small price for the safety of one's family.

With a little concerted effort, arcing-fault induced fires, deaths, and injuries could be virtually eliminated over time. The need is clear and the technology is proven. All that remains is the will to make arcing fault fires a thing of the past.

① Electrical Safety Foundation International, news release, November 7, 2005.

② "NECA Stands Up for Arc-Fault Circuit Interrupters," National Electrical Contractors Association website, [www.necanet.org](http://www.necanet.org), February 10, 2004.

③ National Association of State Fire Marshals, Consumer Product Safety Task Force report, 2002.

④ "On the Safety Circuit: A Fact Sheet on Home Electrical Fire Prevention," U.S. Fire Administration, March 2006.

⑤ "Selected International Comparisons of Fire Loss," by Jerry Banks and Ronald L. Rardin, Georgia Institute of Technology, December 1980.

⑥ "CPSC Staff Activities on Electrical Fires," by Douglas Lee, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, undated (circa 2001).

⑦ Ibid.

⑧ "Technology for Detecting and Monitoring Conditions that Could Cause Electrical Wiring Fires," Underwriters Laboratories Inc., UL Project Number: NC233, 94ME78760, CPSC Contract Number CPSC-C-94-1112, September 1995.

⑨ "New Technology for Preventing Residential Electrical Fires: Arc-Fault Circuit Interrupters (AFCIs)," by Douglas A. Lee et al, undated (circa 1999).

⑩ Ibid.

⑪ "AFCIs Show Promise to Save Lives by Preventing Electrical Fires," by Dave Dini and Rich Wagner, Underwriters Laboratories newsletter, 1999.

⑫ "On the Safety Circuit: A Fact Sheet on Home Electrical Fire Prevention," U.S. Fire Administration, March 2006.

Brief Description	Timeframe	Source
Documented damages on 277/480V systems due to arcing GFCI requirement followed — results indicated that GFCI did not properly address issue	1960s	Arcing faults and their effect on the settings of ground fault relays in solidly grounded low voltage systems
CPSC identified concern with residential fires of electrical origin	late 1980s, early 1990s	IAEI News, Apr. 1, 1988
CPSC fact finding efforts to determine root cause of electrical fires	1980s	NEC Digest, Fall 2003
Arc fault detection technology concepts demonstrated to UL	early 1990s	CPSC, Sept. 1995
NEC proposal to reduce instantaneous trip levels of breakers to address issues with arcing (EIA) Proposal rejected due to nuisance concerns; not supported by NEMA	1993	CPSC, Sept. 1995
Arc fault detection technology appears in circuit breakers	1993	NFPA Journal, Oct. 25, 1993
CPSC reviews technologies to reduce electrical fires, including arc fault detection	1994	NFPA Journal, Sept. 1995
CPSC investigation finds that arc fault detection is a very promising technology	Dec.-1994 through Sept.-1995	NFPA Journal, Sept. 23, 2003
First commercial AFCIs available	1997	NFPA Journal, 1997
First proposal to NEC requiring AFCI protection	1997	IAEI News, 1999
UL forms Industry Advisory Group to create a Standard for Safety for AFCI products	1998	UL, Sept. 1995
1999 NEC requires AFCI for bedroom receptacle circuits	Sept.-1998	NFPA, Sept. 1998
UL released "Standard for Safety for Arc-Fault Circuit Interrupters", UL 1699	Feb.-1999	NFPA Journal, Feb. 1999
2002 NEC requires AFCI for all bedroom circuits	Sept.-2001	NFPA, Sept. 2001
CPSC determines AFCI proves cost effective	Mar.-2003	CPSC, Summer 1999, Vol. 4 No. 1
2005 NEC requires AFCI for all bedroom circuits	Sept.-2004	NFPA, Sept. 2004
U.S. Fire Administration advocates AFCI technology.	Dec.-2006	USFA, Dec. 28, 2006

Figure 2: AFCI timeline

**Published by  
Siemens 2018**

Siemens Industry, Inc.  
5400 Triangle Parkway  
Norcross, GA 30092

Siemens Technical Support: 1-800-333-7421  
info.us@siemens.com

Order No. RPFL-COMB2-0318-CP

Printed in USA  
All Rights Reserved  
© 2018, Siemens Industry, Inc.  
usa.siemens.com/afci

The technical data presented in this document is based on an actual case or on as-designed parameters, and therefore should not be relied upon for any specific application and does not constitute a performance guarantee for any projects. Actual results are dependent on variable conditions. Accordingly, Siemens does not make representations, warranties, or assurances as to the accuracy, currency or completeness of the content contained herein. If requested, we will provide specific technical data or specifications with respect to any customer's particular applications. Our company is constantly involved in engineering and development. For that reason, we reserve the right to modify, at any time, the technology and product specifications contained herein.